THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.6538 of 2007

Dated: 02.04.2007

Between:

Sri Sai Samakhya Mahila & Dwakra Karmika Sangam, Vikarabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Government of A.P., rep. By its Principal Secretary,
Revenue Department, Hyderabad, and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S. RAO

WRIT PETITION No.6538 of 2007

ORDER:

Sri Sai Samakhya Mahila and Dwakra Karmika Sangam, Vikarabad, is aggrieved by the notice dated 24.10.2006 issued by third respondent inviting objections for allotment/alienation of land admeasuring Ac.0.20 gts in survey No.32 situated at Gangaram, Vikarabad, Ranga Reddy District, to the Indian Red Cross Society for construction of its building. In this writ petition, the petitioner seeks invalidation of said notice. It is the case of the petitioner that by proceedings dated 05.04.1995, entire extent of land admeasuring Ac.1.32 gts in said survey number was allotted for housing scheme for weaker sections and subsequently said land was sanctioned to twenty-four members of Dwakra Mahila group. It is also alleged that pursuant to the proceedings dated 05.04.1995, third respondent also issued necessary intimation on 20.09.1998 to the Commissioner, Nagar Panchayat, Vikarabad, to recommend the case of the petitioner to the Andhra Pradesh Urban Development Housing Scheme Authorities for taking up the scheme. Now proposals are made to allot/alienate Ac.0.20 gts in said survey number to the Indian Red Cross Society. It is further alleged that the petitioner submitted representation on 10.03.2007, in response to which third respondent conducted survey. In spite of the same, no steps have been taken for cancellation of impugned notice. Therefore, the petitioner filed instant writ petition.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (Assignment), this Court is of the considered opinion that this writ petition is wholly misconceived. As per para 6 of B.S.O.15 of the Andhra Pradesh Board of Revenue Standing Orders, whenever an application is received for allotment/alienation, it is incumbent on the part of the Mandal Revenue Officer to issue notice in Form A-1 as per appendix IV. This was precisely done by third respondent while issuing impugned notice. As per the writ affidavit, as the petitioner has already submitted objections, it has to wait for necessary orders. This writ petition is wholly misconceived and the same is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

(**V.V.S.RAO, J**)

02th April, 2007

ghn